

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/015,959	11/01/2001		Kjeld B. Egevang	42390P11637	6990	
8791	7590 09/06/2005 EXAMINER					
BLAKELY 12400 WILS		OFF TAYLOR & ULEVARD	но, сно	HO, CHUONG T		
SEVENTH I	FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LES, CA	90025-1030	2664	<u> </u>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/015,959	EGEVANG, KJELD	В.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CHUONG T. HO	2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff dice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
·						

Application/Control Number: 10/015,959

Art Unit: 2664

1. The new limitations "determining whether all packet fragments for said packet have been received <u>by indexing</u> said offset value <u>in a verification table</u>; " (claim 1, page 2, lines 12-13) request new search, new consideration.

Page 2

- 2. The new limitations "a translation module to retrieve translation information from one of said packet fragments and translating said first address into a second address using said translation information, wherein said <u>verification</u> module is to determine whether all packet fragments for said packet have been received <u>by indexing</u> said offset value <u>in a verification table</u>" (claim 11, page 5, lines 8-12) request new search, new consideration.
- 3. The new limitations "wherein said intermediate node is further adapted to <u>index</u> offset values from each packet fragment <u>in a verification table</u> to determine whether all packet fragments for said packet have been received " (claim 13, page 5, line 21, page 6, lines 1-2) request new search, new consideration.
- 4. The new limitations "wherein said platform is further adapted to <u>index</u> offset values from each packet fragment <u>in a verification table</u> to determine whether all packet fragments for said packet have been received " (claim 15, page 6, lines 13-15) request new search, new consideration.
- 5. The new limitations "wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in <u>indexing</u> offset values from each packet fragment <u>in a verification table</u> to determine whether all packet fragments for said packet have been received " (claim 18, page 7, lines 14-16) request new search, new consideration.

Art Unit: 2664

## **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM-4:00PM.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

08/30/05

WELLINGTON CHIN
RVISORY PATENT EXAMIN